1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 7 CASE NO. 2:23-cv-00357-JHC RYAN ADAM DIXON, 8 Plaintiff, ORDER 9 v. 10 MATTHEW SCOTT GOGUEN, 11 Defendant. 12 13 14 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Relief from Judgment 15 Pursuant to Rule 60(b). Dkt. # 16. The Court concludes that relief under Rule 60(b) is not 16 appropriate because Plaintiff has not provided any new information or legal authority to support 17 his contention that RCW 4.28.185(4) may form the basis of a negligence claim. His complaint 18 suffers from the same legal defect that the Court articulated in its prior order dismissing the case. 19 Dkt. # 14. Accordingly, the Court DENIES the motion. 20 Dated this 26th day of May, 2023. 21 John H. Chun 22 23 John H. Chun United States District Judge 24

ORDER - 1